

F.3. BULACAN AGRICULTURAL STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2024 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed

55%

55%

89%

90.10%

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation

100%

100%

81.82%

81.82%

GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2023

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation**RESEARCH PROGRAM****Outcome Indicator**

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

2

2

Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

16

20

2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year

75%

80%

Community engagement increased**TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM****Outcome Indicator**

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

21

25

Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

2,324

2,650

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

4

8

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

80%

88%