

### **E.3. BULACAN AGRICULTURAL STATE COLLEGE**

#### **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

#### **SECTOR OUTCOME**

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

#### **ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME**

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

**PERFORMANCE INFORMATION****ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)**

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

**HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams

110%

110%

2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed

89%

90%

## Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs

100%

100%

2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation

81.82%

100%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

**RESEARCH PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

2

2

## Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

16

16

2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international forums within the year

75%

77.50%

Community engagement increased

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NCAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

21

23

## Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

2,324

2,450

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

4

5

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

80%

86%